DAVID W. SHAPIRO (NYSBN 2054054) ORIGINAL 1 United States Anomey 2 SEALED BY ORDER OF THE COURT Attorney for Plaintiff 3 RICHARD W. W. Fritt. Nothern, W. Control of the Control 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 OAKLAND DIVISION CAGRO1-40184DLJ 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 10 VIOLATIONS: 21 U.S.C. § 846 -Plaintiff. Conspiracy to Manufacture Controlled Substance; 21 U.S.C. § 841 – Manufacture of Controlled Substance; 21 U.S.C. § 841(2)(2) – Possession and 11 v. 12 DAVID CONKEY and Distribution of a Listed Chemical CAROL CONKEY, 13 Knowing and Having Reason to Know That It Will Be Used to Manufacture Defendants. 14 a Controlled Substance; 21 U.S.C. § 853(a)(1) and (p) - - Criminal Forfeiture 15 OAKLAND VENUE 16 17 18 INDICTMENT 19 The Grand Jury charges that: 20 (21 U.S.C. § 846) COUNT ONE: 21 Beginning at a time unknown to the Grand Jury, but not later than in and during 22 August, 1992 and continuing thereafter until at least in or about October, 2001 in the 23 Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants 24

DAVID CONKEY and

CAROL CONKEY,

INDICTMENT

25

26

willfully and knowingly did combine, conspire, confederate and agree with each other and with other persons whose names are both known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to manufacture methamphetamine, and to aid and abet the manufacture of methamphetamine, a Schedule I controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1);

All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846.

COUNT TWO:

(21 U.S.C. §841(c)(2))

On or about September 22, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

# DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly possess and distribute a List II listed chemical, to wit: iodine, knowing and having reasonable cause to believe that it would be used to manufacture a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(c)(2).

COUNT THREE: (21 U.S.C. §841(c)(2))

On or about October 13, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

# DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly possess and distribute a List II listed chemical, to wit: iodine, knowing and having reasonable cause to believe that it would be used to manufacture a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(c)(2).

COUNT FOUR: (21 U.S.C. §841(c)(2))

On or about March 16, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

DAVID CONKEY and

INDICTMENT

#### CAROL CONKEY

did possess and distribute a List II listed chemical, to wit: iodine, knowing and having reasonable cause to believe that it would be used to manufacture a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(c)(2).

5 COUNT FIVE:

(21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

On or about September 22, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

#### DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, to wit: methamphetamine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

COUNT SIX: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

On or about October 13, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

## DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

COUNT SEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

On or about March 16, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

INDICTMENT

#### DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

COUNT EIGHT: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

On or about January 12, 2000, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

#### DAVID CONKEY and

minantenally CAROL CONKEY

did manufacture and aid and aber the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

COUNT NINE: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

On or about March 2, 2000, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

## DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

COUNT TEN: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

On or about April 26, 2000, in the Northern District of California, the defendants

#### DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY

did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1).

COUNT ELEVEN: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1))

INDICTMENT

On or about August 28, 2000, in the Northern District of California, the defendants DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1). COUNT TWELVE: (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1)) On or about December 7, 2000, in the Northern District of California, the defendants DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1). (21 U.S.C. §841(a)(1)) **COUNT THIRTEEN:** On or about February 14, 2001, in the Northern District of California, the defendants DAVID CONKEY and CAROL CONKEY did knowingly and intentionally manufacture and aid and abet the manufacture of a controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(a)(1). INDICTMENT

incorporated by reference as though fully set forth in this Count.

3

2

1

- 4

2.

defendants

- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

INDICTMENT

DAVID CONKEY and

As a result of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Thirteen above, the

shall forfeit to the United States property as proceeds obtained directly and indirectly, as a result of said violations.

- The property to be forfeited by the defendants includes but is not limited to the following property:
  - \$400,300 in United States Currency seized at defendants' a. residence on October 10, 2001.
  - A parcel of land, including any improvements, located at 109 b. Stonehurst Court, Martinez, California, 94553, identified by Assessor's Parcel Number 367-220-017, and more particularly described as follows:

LOT: 41; CITY: UNINCORPORATED; SUBDIVISION: SUBDIVISION 7091; RECORDER'S MAP REFERENCE: MB356 PG25;

A parcel of land, including any improvements, located at 5300 C. Stonehurst Drive, Martinez, California 94553-9721, identified by Assessor's Parcel Number 367-220-001, and more particularly described as follows:

CITY: UNINCORPORATED; Tract 7091, Lot 1.

4. If, as a re	esult of any act or omission of e	either defendant, any of said property	
a.		e exercise of due diligence;	
Ъ.	has been mansferred or so	ld to or deposited with, a third person;	
c.	nas been placed beyond th	ne jurisdiction of the Court;	
d.	has been substantially din	has been substantially diminished in value; or	
e.	has been commingled with	h other property which without	
	difficulty cannot be subdi-	vided;	
then the defenda	ants shall forfeit to the United S	States any and all interest each has in any	
other property (not to exceed the value of the above forfeitable property).			
All in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(a)(1) and (p).			
DATED:		A TRUE BILL.	
	A DID O	FOREPERSON	
DAVID W. SHA United States At			
1 de Cale	Z Rul		
CHARLES B. B	URCH		
Chief, Oakland	_		
(Approve	d as to form: MEP AUSA Pougiales	)	
	AUSA Fouglaics		